

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Acute toxicity and radioprotective effects of amifostine (WR-2721) or cystamine in single whole body fission neutrons irradiated rats**

Pavel Kuna¹, Milan Dostál², Otakar Neruda², Josef Knajfl², Pavel Petýrek², František Podzimek², Jan Severa², Václav Svoboda³, +Jan Šimša², Stanislav Špelda², Jiřina Vávrová², Jindřiška Heřmanská⁴, Zdeněk Prouza^{5,6}, Pavel Pitterman^{5,7}, Evžen Listík⁷, Leoš Navrátil^{1,6}, František Spurný⁸, František Konrád², Zdena Vilasová¹, Renata Havránková¹

¹University of South Bohemia, Faculty of Health and Social Studies, Department of Radiology and Toxicology, České Budějovice, Czech Republic,

²Purkyně Military Medical Academy, Department of Radiobiology, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic,

³Faculty Hospital, Clinic of Radiation Oncology, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic,

⁴Charles University, 2nd Medical Faculty, Department of Biophysics and Nuclear Medicine, Praha, Czech Republic,

⁵National Authority for Nuclear Safety, Praha, Czech Republic,

⁶Charles University, 1st Medical Faculty, Department of Biophysics and Nuclear Medicine, Praha, Czech Republic,

⁷Institute of Nuclear Research, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic,

⁸Institute of Nuclear Physics, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic

Received 2nd August 2003.

Revised 6th October 2003.

Published online 30th October 2003.

Summary

The radioprotective substances amifostine (WR-2721) and cystamine were tested in rats following their parenteral administration (i.p., i.m., and i.v.). Cystamine is more toxic than amifostine in mice as well as in rats. Amifostine was less toxic after intravenous injection. The radioprotective effects of WR-2721 (160 mg.kg⁻¹) and cystamine (40 mg.kg⁻¹) were not significant when they were administered parenterally 15 – 20 mins before lethal doses of whole body fission neutron irradiation in the thermal column of reactor VVR-S and 30-days lethality served as an integral criterion of postradiation injury to the rat body. The fission neutrons spectrum was characterized by mean energy 0.9-1.0 MeV with 30-40% fluency participation of moderate (E=0.1 MeV) neutrons. The contamination with gamma rays was 22-30 %; the dose rate of whole irradiation was within 0.3 to 0.8 Gy.min⁻¹.

Keywords: amifostine – WR-2721 – cystamine – radioprotective effect – fission neutrons irradiation

INTRODUCTION

Both the radioprotective agents amifostine and cystamine, synthesized in Czechoslovakia, proved their radioprotective effects in whole body gamma

irradiated mice and rats, when the radioprotective efficiency of both radioprotectors was evaluated using 30-days lethality and the comparison of lethal doses of irradiation in control and protected rats, administered intramuscularly 15 minutes before the

beginning of irradiation (Kuna and Krajčovič 1981, Kuna 1982, 1982a, 1983, 1983a, 1985, Kuna et al. 1983).

The reason for our further experiments were findings of the weak radioprotective effectiveness of sulphur containing radioprotectors administered parenterally, except amifostine (WR-2721), in mice exposed to whole body fission or fast neutron irradiations (Ferle-Vidovic et al. 1981, Kuna et al. 1988, Langendorff et al. 1971, Sedlmeier et al. 1981, Sigdestad et al. 1976, 1986, 1992, Sverdlöv 1974). The aim of the experimental series was to discover if the tested agents, injected parenterally or given orally, are able to protect the animals of

other species (rats, rabbits and dogs) against the initial neutron radiation injury, manifested as bone marrow or gastrointestinal syndromes. The degree of protective ability of amifostine and cystamine against single fission neutron irradiation in rats, evaluated by lethality studies, is presented in this paper.

The experiments were performed in the laboratories of the department of radiobiology of Purkyně Military Medical Academy (PMMA) in Hradec Králové. The animals were irradiated in the thermal column of the VVR-S reactor in the Institute of Nuclear Research in Řež near Prague.

Table 1. The comparison of acute toxicity ($LD_{50/48\text{ h}}$ in mmol.kg^{-1}) of WR-2721 and cystamine in mice following different methods of parenteral administration

Administration	Sex	WR-2721	cystamine	WR2721/cystamine
intraperitoneal	male	3.688	1.267	2.911
Intraperitoneal	female	4.265	1.346	3.169
Intramuscular	female	4.171	1.674	2.492
Intravenous	female	6.186	1.024	6.041

Table 2. The comparison of acute toxicity ($LD_{50/48\text{ h}}$ in mmol.kg^{-1}) of WR-2721 and cystamine in rats following different methods of parenteral administration

Administration	Sex	WR-2721	cystamine	WR2721/cystamine
intraperitoneal	male	–	0.637	–
intraperitoneal	female	2.162	0.657	3.391
intramuscular	female	2.096	0.624	3.359
intravenous	male	2.619	–	–
intravenous	female	–	0.788	(3.324)

METHODS

Animals. Adult male and female Wistar SPF rats with a body mass of 180–220 g were delivered from Velaz farm, Praha. The rats were housed 4–6 per cage with free access to a pelleted standard diet DOS-2b-St and to drinking water *ad libitum*.

Chemicals. Radioprotective agents amifostine, WR-2721 (S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)-ethyl-phosphorothioic acid, and cystamine (disulfide

2-mercaptoethylamine) dihydrochloride were synthesized by the chemical team of C. Krajčovič in VOZ 072 in Zemianské Kostoľany, Slovakia. For the synthesis of WR-2721 the procedure of Piper et al. (1969) was utilized. The chemicals were dissolved in saline (Imuna, Šarišské Michaľany, Slovakia). Solutions were prepared a few minutes before administration. Cystamine doses are given in the doses with a base of cystamine dihydrochloride salt.

Table 3. **Values of lethal doses of single whole body irradiated female rats by fission neutrons.** 20 min before irradiation, the rats received intramuscularly: saline, WR-2721 (200 mg.kg⁻¹) or cystamine (50 mg.kg⁻¹). Season: July – September, dose rate 0.320 Gy.min⁻¹.

Treatment	Irradiation (Gy)	Lethality	Lethal doses of fission neutrons (Gy)			DRF
			LD _{5/30}	LD _{50/30}	LD _{95/30}	
Saline i.m.	1.5	0/15	2.14	3.34	5.23	1.00
			(1.77–2.41)	(3.06–3.68)	(4.58–6.51)	
	2	0/30				
	2.5	1/15				
	3.5	13/30				
	4	14/15				
	4.5	15/15				
WR-2721 i.m.	1.5	1/14	1.79	3.31	6.13	0.96 (0.84–1.09)
			(1.20–1.94)	(3.01–3.89)	(5.60–10.48)	
	2	3/30				
	2.5	0/15				
	3.5	12/30				
	4	12/15				
	4.5	15/15				
Cystamine i.m.	1.5	0/15	2.00	3.12	5.16	0.98 (0.81–1.18)
			(1.63–2.27)	(2.95–3.52)	(4.52–6.43)	
	2	3/30				
	2.5	3/15				
	3.5	15/30				
	4	13/15				
	4.5	15/15				

The acute toxicity of the protective substances WR-2721 and cystamine of Czechoslovak production were tested in rats after differential methods of parenteral (intraperitoneal, intramuscular or intravenous) administration. The lethality of non-irradiated rats was followed 48 hours after the tested protector injection. The values of LD_{5/48 h}, LD_{50/48 h} and LD_{95/48 h} were calculated from the lethality data using the probit-logarithmic method (Roth et al. 1962).

Irradiation. Fission-spectrum neutrons were applied in the thermal column of the VVR-S reactor (USSR production) with mean energy 0.9–1.0 MeV with 30–40% fluency participation of moderate neutrons (E=0.1 MeV). The contamination with gamma rays was 22–30 %. The dose rate of the whole dose of irradiation was determined within 0.3 to 0.8 Gy.min⁻¹.

Table 4. Values of lethal doses of single whole body irradiated female rats by fission neutrons. 20 minutes before irradiation the rats received intravenously: saline, WR-2721 (160 mg.kg⁻¹) or cystamine (40 mg.kg⁻¹). Season: August-September, dose rate = 0.684 Gy.min⁻¹.

Treatment	Irradiation (Gy)	Lethality	Lethal doses of fission neutrons (Gy)			DRF
			LD _{5/30}	LD _{50/30}	LD _{95/30}	
Saline i.v.	2.5	0/9	3.05	3.95	5.11	1.00
	3	0/10	(2.41–3.36)	(3.66–4.29)	(4.60–6.62)	
	3.5	3/10				
	4	3/10				
	4.5	9/10				
	5	10/10				
	5.5	5/5				
	6	5/5				
	6.5	5/5				
WR-2721 i.v.neutron	2.5	1/7	2.47	4.36	7.70	1.07 (0.90–1.29)
	3	2/10	(1.37–3.00)	(3.82–5.58)	(5.87–18.94)	
	3.5	0/10				
	4	2/9				
	4.5	4/10				
	5	9/10				
	5.5	5/5				
	6	5/5				
	6.5	5/5				
Cystamine i.v.	3	1/9	3.06	4.47	6.53	1.11 (0.98–1.29)
	3.5	1/10	(1.94–3.48)	(4.01–5.75)	(5.31–15.07)	
	4	2/10				
	4.5	4/10				
	5	10/10				
	5.5	5/5				
	6	5/5				
	6.5	5/5				

Table 5. The influence of WR-2721 (160 mg.kg⁻¹) or cystamine (40 mg.kg⁻¹) given intraperitoneally 15–20 min before single whole body fission neutrons irradiation (4 or 6 Gy) on mean survival time of female rats. Season: June, dose rate 0.330 Gy.min⁻¹. Letter *a* indicates statistically significant difference from rats' group, which received saline only before irradiation.

Treatment before Irradiation	Irradiation (Gy)	Mean survival time of irradiated rats (days)
Saline i.p.	4	12.1 (8.6 – 15.6)
WR-2721 i.p.	4	9.9 (6.8 – 13.1)
Cystamine i.p.	4	11.0 (7.9 – 14.1)
Saline i.p.	6	4.1 (3.9 – 4.3)
WR-2721 i.p.	6	4.7 (4.1 – 5.3)
Cystamine i.p.	6	5.2 (4.5 – 5.9)

The evaluation of the radioprotective effects of amifostine (WR-2721) or cystamine using 30-days postradiation lethality of irradiated animals. For the determination of lethal doses ($LD_{5/30}$, $LD_{50/30}$, $LD_{95/30}$) 5–7 rising doses of whole body exposure to fission neutrons were as a rule applied to different groups of rats, each containing the specified number of animals (see tables in the results section). Control rats in postradiation survival studies received the saline in the volume of 0.2–0.5 ml.100 g⁻¹ of body weight. The doses of radioprotectors are mentioned in particular experiments. The intervals of from 15 to 20 minutes between the application of the protectors and the beginning of whole body irradiation were used in these experiments with the single whole body fission neutrons irradiation in the thermal column of reactor VVR-S. The number of animals per group and their sex, season of experiment (months) are mentioned in the results tables. The survival of exposed rats to radiation was followed up until the 30th day after irradiation.

From the lethality date, the lethal doses and relative radiation effect with 95% confidence limits were calculated by probit-logarithmic analysis (Roth et al. 1962) using the Hewlett-Packard 9830A calculator of the Laboratory of medical cybernetics of PMMA. The dose reduction factors (DRF) of parenterally applied radioprotectors for 30-days mortality are reverse values of the relative radiation effect in rats pretreated with protective agents.

RESULTS

The acute toxic effects of WR-2721 and cystamine of Czechoslovak origin after different kind of administration in non-irradiated mice and rats were described in many our experiments (Kuna 1985). Comparisons of the acute toxicity of WR-2721 and cystamine in mmol.kg⁻¹ of the body weight in mice and rats are presented in tables 1 and 2.

From a comparison of lethal doses of WR-2721 and cystamine in non-irradiated mice and rats, it is clearly evident that cystamine is a more toxic agent than amifostine (WR-2721), when both protectors were administered parenterally. The best method of WR-2721 administration in mice is intravenous injection (tab. 1) as well as in rats (Table 2). I.v. application of cystamine was preferable in rats only.

Radioprotective effectiveness of WR-2721 and cystamine against single whole body irradiation of rats by fission neutrons in the thermal column of the reactor VVR-S.

The radioprotective ability of both protectors following i.m. and i.v. injections was compared in

two experiments on female rats. The results are presented in the tables 3 and 4.

No significant radioprotective effect of WR-2721 and cystamine was observed in rats after single whole body irradiation by fission neutrons, when radioprotectors were administered parenterally (intramuscularly or intravenously) and 30-days lethality served as an integral criterion of postradiation injury of the mammalian organism. The DRF values of 1.07 for amifostine and 1.11 for cystamine in the case of i.v. injections were not significant, but intravenous injection seems to be better in rats in comparison with i.m. administration.

In additional experiments on female rats, we followed mean survival times = MST (days) after single whole body fission neutron irradiation following saline, WR-2721 and cystamine intraperitoneal administrations (table 5). The mean survival time of rats indirectly depends on the dose of fission neutrons. Following a whole body dose of 4 Gy MST the value of 12.1 days was attained, after 6 Gy, only 4.1 days. The duration of postradiation survival of rats was not significantly modified by the radioprotectors used; in the second experiment with a fission neutrons dose of 6 Gy, cystamine prolonged the survival time from 4.1 to 5.2 days.

DISCUSSION

The radioprotective effectiveness of WR-2721 and cystamine against single whole body gamma irradiation in rats was summarised in our monograph (Kuna 1985). Already the cystamine dose of 30 mg.kg⁻¹ i.p. was effective (DRF = 1.16). As a rule, in rats the dose of 50 mg.kg⁻¹ was administered intramuscularly in our experiments with DRF 1.18–1.39. This cystamine dose represents approximately 50 % of its mean toxic dose ($LD_{50/48 h}$). The radioprotective doses of WR-2721, 160 and 200 mg.kg⁻¹ for i.m. applications, represent 34.6 and 45.4% of the amifostine toxic value ($LD_{50/48 h}$) for the same method of administration in non-irradiated rats. The DRF values of WR-2721 for the lethal doses of whole body ⁶⁰Co gamma irradiation (dose rate 0.74–0.34 Gy.min⁻¹), were estimated to be within 1.27–1.71 when the 30-days survival of exposed rats was observed..

Administration of chemical radioprotective drugs could be useful in two main circumstances (Pospíšil 1999). Firstly in clinical conditions in oncological radiotherapy with the aim of protecting normal tissues against the undesirable effects of irradiation and to elevate the radiation dose in

tumor cells. The best method of protector application may be chosen according to the individual situation. Repeated administration is without complications. The most recent recommendations for amifostine use in clinical oncology involve intravenous infusion only (Schuchter et al. 2002).

Secondly, an important occasion for radioprotector treatment is to manage the risk of whole body gamma-neutron or gamma only external irradiation in the case of nuclear weapons attack or nuclear power station accident. Fission weapons with a very small calibre of up to 1 kt, or neutron weapons, produce an initial gamma-neutron irradiation with the greatest portion (70 %) of energy released during the explosion and therefore are most suitable for terrorist attacks (Kuna et al. 2003). The duration of the radioprotective effect of amifostine after i.m. injection did not exceed 1 hour in whole body gamma irradiated rats (Kuna et al. 1983) We cannot assume long term increased radioresistance in humans after parenteral radioprotector administration and we may not have the opportunity to predict the time of a terrorist attack. Chemical radioprotection against gamma-neutron whole body irradiation with different type of physical shielding of the body has an important role in battlefield conditions, when the possibility of nuclear weapons attack is not excluded or even expected.

The most important use of chemical radioprotective drugs remains for the situation when we know that rescuers are going to help in an area with high levels of radiation dose rate following the sedimentation of radioactive materials after a nuclear weapons attack or after a nuclear power station accident. In such case the external gamma rays exposure is most significant. Internal contamination will contribute to external radiation injury, when personnel ingest, inhale, or are wounded by radioactive material.

Pharmacologists and patients prefer easy oral drug administration. Intramuscular injection is also accepted using an autoinjector, when active molecules of the protector are inactivated in the stomach. Therefore such a practical easy application remains of great interest to us in our further experiments on different mammals.

REFERENCES

Ferle-Vidovic A., D. Petrovic, Z. Vidic, M. Osmak, K. Kadija: Absence of AET protection against fast neutrons: cellular effects. *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.* 19: 197–203, 1981.

- Genes V.S.: Tables of significant differences between groups of observations according to quality indicators (in Russian). *Meditsina*, Moscow 1964.
- Kuna P.: Radioprotective effectiveness of cystamine administered intramuscularly to mice (in Russian). *Radiobiologiya*, 22, 1982: 517–519.
- Kuna P.: Appearance of radioprotective effect of gammaphos (WR-2721) in mice (in Czech). *Čas. Lék. čes.*, 121, 1982a: 912–914.
- Kuna P.: Duration and degree of radioprotection of WR-2721 in mice following its intraperitoneal, intramuscular and subcutaneous administration. *Radiobiol. Radiother. (Berlin)*, 24, 1983: 357–364.
- Kuna P.: Radioprotection of small intestine and spleen hemopoiesis by gammaphos (WR-2721) or cystamine in whole body gamma irradiated mice. *Biológia (Bratislava)*, 39, 1983: 273–282..
- Kuna P.: Chemical radioprotection (in Czech). *Avicenum*, Praha 1985, pp. 148. (Translated into Russian: *Meditsina*, Moscow 1989, pp. 190).
- Kuna P., M. Dostál, O. Neruda, P. Petýrek, V. Svoboda, M. Rešl, Z. Prouza, J. Heřmanská, F. Spurný, E. Listík, P. Pitterman, J. Knajfl, J. Šimša, S. Špelda, F. Podzimek: Radioprotective effects of gammaphos (WR-2721) and cystamine in the case of whole-body irradiation of mice by fission neutrons (in Czech). *Sborn. lék. (Praha)* 90: 110–116, 1988.
- Kuna P., C. Krajčovič: Acute toxicity and radioprotective effectiveness of gammaphos (WR-2721) in rats (in Czech). *Čas. Lék. čes.* 120: 776–778, 1981.
- Kuna P., O. Neruda, L. Navrátil, J. Matzner, R. Žiškova: Nuclear Terrorism. *J. Appl. Biomed.* 1: 55–59, 2003.
- Kuna P., K. Volenec, I. Vodička, M. Dostál: Radioprotective and hemodynamic effects of WR-2721 and cystamine in rats: Time course studies. *Neoplasma*, 30: 349–357, 1983.
- Langendroff H., M. Langendorff, R. Metzner, H. Monig, K.-H. Steinbach, W. Temme, G. Tumbragel: Radiobiological investigations with fast neutrons. II. The radioprotective action of different substances on male mice. *Atomkernergie* 18: 83–88, 1971.
- Piper J.R., C.R. Stringfellow, R.D. Elliot, T.P. Johnston: S-2-(3-aminoalkylamino)ethyl dihydrogen phosphorothioates and related compounds as potential antiirradiation agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 12: 236–243, 1969.
- Pospíšil M.: Pharmacological radiation protection. In: C. Baumstark-Khan et al. (eds.). *Fundamentals for the assessment of risks from environmental radiation*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands 1999, pp. 411–420.

- Roth Z., M. Josífko, V. Malý, V. Trčka: Statistical methods in experimental medicine (in Czech). Státní zdravotnické nakladatelství, 1962, pp. 589.
- Sedlmeier H., E. Metzger, U. Jentsch, E. Weitzenegger: Schutzeffekt von Aminopropylamino-athylthiophosphat (WR-2721) bei Neutronen-, Gamma- oder Rontgenbestrahlung von Mäusen. *Strahlentherapie* 157: 685–691, 1981.
- Sigdestad C.P., A.M. Connor, R.M. Scott: Effect of chemical protectors on the response of the intestine to roentgen or fission neutron irradiation. *Acta Radiol Ther. Phys. Biol.* 15: 401–409, 1976.
- Sigdestad C.P., D.J. Grdina, A.M. Connor, W.R. Hanson: A comparison of radioprotection from three neutron sources and ^{60}Co by WR-2721 and WR-151327. *Radiat. Res.* 106: 224–233, 1986.
- Sigdestad C.P., A.M. Connor, C.S. Sims: Modification of neutron-induced hematopoietic effects by chemical radioprotectors. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 22: 807–811, 1992.
- Suchter L.M., M.L. Hensley, N.J. Meropol, E.P. Winer: 2002 update of recommendations for the use of chemotherapy and radiotherapy protectants: Clinical Practice Guidelines of the American society of clinical oncology. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 20: 2895–2903, 2002.
- Sverdlov A.G.: Biological effects of neutrons and chemical protection (in Russian). Nauka, Leningrad 1974, pp. 224.

✉ **Address:**

Pavel Kuna, Department of Radiology and Toxicology, Faculty of Health and Social Studies, Matice školské 17, 370 01 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; pavel.kuna@tiscali.cz
