Assessment of the effect of non invasive laser on the process of healing of an extraction wound by infrared thermography: preliminary study
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Summary
The purpose of this preliminary, double-blind, placebo-control study was to evaluate the effect of GaAlAs diode laser on wound healing and pain reduction in patients after extraction of impacted lower third molars by infrared thermography. Material and methods: The study population of two patients was divided into group A treated by GaAlAs diode laser with a wavelength 830 nm and maximal output power of 100 mW and group B treated by placebo laser. Therapeutic Schedule: The energy density per point was 12 J, treatment time 2 minutes, the total energy density for one treatment session was 36 J. Treatment intervals were 10 minutes after extraction, 1 and 3 days after extraction. Patients in group B were treated with a placebo probe in the same schedule. Patients evaluated the level of pain and swelling on 100 mm Visual Analog Scale. Thermographic measurements were performed by ThermoCAM™ SC 2000 before extraction, 30 minutes after extraction and on the 1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th days. Results: The patient treated by active laser reported more pain and swelling during the first week after tooth extraction than the patient treated by placebo laser. However, the comparison of thermograms of patients treated by placebo and active laser showed the acceleration of wound healing after extraction in the patient treated with GaAsAl diode laser. Conclusion: The treatment by GaAlAs diode laser, 830 nm and total energy density for one treatment session 36 J had a stimulation effect on the healing process but did not lead to reduction of pain and swelling in the patient after dental surgery.
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INTRODUCTION
Surgical extractions of semi-retinal and retinal lower wisdom teeth are the most common stomatological operations. Impacted lower molar extraction is connected with an inflammatory reaction of the organism, pain, swelling and momentary limitation of mouth movements. A low level laser therapy has been reported as an effective tool for treatment of post-surgical conditions because of its analgesic, anti-inflammatory and stimulating effect on tissue (Simunovic et al. 2000, Navratil et al. 2000, Tunér and Hode 1999).

Determining the level of pain often becomes a criterion for evaluating the effect of treatment efficacy. However, assessment of the level of pain is a subjective evaluation easily affected by the patient’s psychical condition. Since an inflammatory reaction of the organism is accompanied by local increased blood-flow to the inflicted area that results in the increasing of skin temperature, infrared thermography appears to be a promising research tool for an objective monitoring of the
inflammatory reaction (Biagioni et al. 1996, Ventä et al. 2001). Infrared thermography is a diagnostic method based on its ability to record infrared radiation emitted by the skin and convert it into electronic video signals. Infrared thermography is unique in its capability to show physiological changes.

The objective of this preliminary study was to assess the efficacy of the low level laser on the inflammation reaction associated with extraction of impacted lower third molars evaluated by infrared thermography.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The pilot study population consisted of eight patients. However, six of these patients had to be excluded from this study for reasons mentioned in table 1. The same treatment conditions were kept only in two patients. These two patients attended for removal of impacted third molars from orthodontics indication. Radiographic classification of the position of the third molars was made from panoramic radiographs according to Pell and Gregory classification (Garcia et al. 2000). The location of the wisdom tooth was defined as level C: the impact tooth is below the cervical line of the second molar. Ramus relationship/space available was defined as class 3: all or most of the third molar is in the ramus of the mandible. The patients were free of pain at the time of the study. Patients had a negative medical history, were not using any medication and gave informed consent to participate in the study.

Patients were randomly divided into two groups according to treatment. The patient in group A was treated with semi-conductive GaAlAs laser (BTL 2000 therapeutic laser – company Beautyline Technology Laser, Czech Republic) emitting radiation of 830 nm, with maximal output of 100 mW and received 3 x 36 J during one week postoperatively. The laser gives a spot size of approximately 0.5 cm², the energy density per point was 12 J, and power density 200 mW/cm². The lingual and buccal bony wall of extraction wound was irradiated intraorally and the area of the submandible node extraorally. Treatment intervals were 10 minutes after extraction, and 1 and 3 days after extraction. The patient in group B was treated with an inactive placebo probe in the same exposure schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Laser</th>
<th>Reason for the exclusion from the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>3rd. day – Alvogyl, cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>3rd. day – Alvogyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>Did not come for thermographic examination the 8th day after surgery and did deliver questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>Dalacin C (antibiotic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>Dalacin C (antibiotic), 3. den – alvogyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>Plogenzym (enzymatic preparat), Dalacin C(antibiotic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients were treated with antibiotic since the removing of the third molar was complicated. It means when the surgery took long time and the bone had to be drilled.

Operations were performed by the same surgeon. By using 2 ml local anesthesia containing articain 4% with adrenalin 1: 200 000 (Supracaín, Léčiva, Czech Republic), the flap retraction, osteotomy, tooth extraction, cavity treatment and suturing were carried out. Only one low third molar was removed at a time. The unoperated contralateral side served as a control.

Patients evaluated the level of postoperative pain and swelling on 100 mm Visual Analog Scale in mornings and evenings during one week after wisdom tooth extraction (Table 2). These 100 mm scales were described “0 - no pain or no swelling” and “10 – the worst possible pain”. The surgeon assessed post-surgery check – up examinations (Table 3) and another dentist carried out the laser therapy and thermographic examinations. Thermographic measurements of local inflammatory were performed by ThermaCAM™ SC 2000 before extraction, 30 mins after extraction and 1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th days after extraction always before laser therapy.
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**Fig. 1.** Thermogram of the patient treated by active laser
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**Fig. 2.** Thermogram of the patient treated by placebo laser
RESULTS

The thermographic picture of the face of patients before surgery is represented on figure 1a and 1b. The thermographic pictures of the face of the patient treated by active laser made the 1st, 3rd and 8th day after extraction are represented on figure 1b-d. The thermographic pictures of the face of the patient treated by placebo laser made the 1st, 3rd and 8th days after extraction are represented in figure 2b-d. The changes of the temperature of the face caused by surgical extraction in the patient treated by active laser and in the patient treated by placebo laser are demarked by a black line in the thermograms. The thermographic abnormalities caused by extraction remained longer in patient B who was treated by the placebo laser.

Table 2. Subjective assessment of average pain and swelling after extraction of impacted low third molars on 100 mm Visual Analog Scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A – active laser</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>2nd day</th>
<th>3rd day</th>
<th>4th day</th>
<th>5th day</th>
<th>6th day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgetic drugs</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B – placebo laser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgetic drugs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Clinical assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A – active laser</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>3rd day</th>
<th>5th day</th>
<th>8th day after surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouth opening</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B – placebo laser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth opening</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mouth opening: 0- any limitation, 1- mild limitation, 2- severe limitation
Swelling: 0- none, 1- mild, 2- severe

DISCUSSION

The recovery of an extraction wound is always associated with an inflammatory reaction of the organism. The inflammatory reaction is characterized by a local increase in temperature, swelling, pain and impairment of function in the inflicted area. The most frequent criterion used for the assessment of the anti inflammatory treatment efficacy is a subjective evaluation of the level of pain by the patient.

The trickiness and inaccuracy of the monitoring of healing process based only on the patient’s evaluation of the level of pain can be demonstrated by the results of this study. Patient B who reported less pain, took more analgesic drugs than patient A who reported more pain and took no drugs (Table 2).
The infrared thermography is non-contact, non-ionizing diagnostic method based on the exact recording of the temperature of the surface of the imaging area. Although the infrared thermography is not able to image anatomic changes, it is a sensitive diagnostic tool for the showing of vascular dysfunction (Special report: www.thermascan.com), impairment of sympathetic nervous system (Gratt et al. 1995, Shetty et al. 1994, McGimpsey et al. 1999) and sensitive innervation disorders (Uematsu S 1985, Bonezzi et al 1996, Santiago et al. 2000). The results of the studies (Biagioni et al. 1996, Ventâ et al. 2001) imply that infrared thermography is able to precisely quantify clinical and subclinical inflammatory changes.

In our preliminary study the thermographic examination revealed the dissimilarities in the recovery of the extraction wound in patient A (treated by active laser) and patient 7 B (treated by placebo laser). Temperature abnormalities caused by surgical extraction of the third molar were not present in patient A the 8th day after extraction. The thermographic picture of the face of the patient A was the same the 8th day after surgery as the thermographic picture made before extraction. However, the temperature abnormalities caused by surgical extraction of the third molar in patient B were still present on the thermographic picture the 8th day after extraction. Thermographic examination confirmed the biostimulating effect of the low power laser. In accordance with other our studies (Navrátil et al. 2000, Navrátil et al. 2003) the irradiation of the low level laser accelerates the recovery of the extraction wound and reduces the time of treatment of the extraction wound in patient A.

The anti-inflammatory and biostimulating effects result from the activation of natural repairing processes occurring as a reaction of the organism to the inflammation in the course of the aimed laser application. The low power laser accelerates the reaction of the organism by activation of biochemical mediators and enzymes of the respiratory chain and by the accelerated rate of the metabolism in the irradiated tissue. The low power laser also supports the penetration of fibroblasts into the wound and activates the formation of collagen fibres (Navrátil et al 2000).

However, subjective assessment of the level of pain by the patients and clinical assessment of swelling and the jaw mobility did not correlate with the results of the thermographic measurements. Patient A reported a higher level of pain and swelling than patient B (Table 2). The reason for the pain and discomfort reported by patient A could be explained by hyperemia caused by use of the active laser (Navratil et al. 1996). According to this preliminary study we do not recommend the use of the low level laser for reduction of pain after dental surgery in the therapeutic schedule mentioned above.

We are aware of the shortcomings of this preliminary study resulted from the small study population. Further studies on a larger population are necessary to be able to statistically assess the relationship between applied energy density, changes of skin temperature in the region above extraction, patient’s feelings and the progress of extraction wound healing.

Conclusion

Only two patients could be included for the assessment of effect of low power laser on wound healing. Therefore we can not make any valid conclusion from this preliminary study. However, it seems that infrared thermography promises to become a research tool for an objective assessment of anti-inflammatory treatment efficacy. According to thermographic examination and patients’questionnaire the treatment with GaAlAs diode laser, 830 nm and total energy density for one treatment session 36 J accelerated extraction wound healing but did not reduce pain after dental surgery. Further studies on a larger population are necessary to obtain valid results and to be able to work out an optimal treatment plan minimalizing post-operative pain.
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